Some people today have argued that countries should interfere less in the affairs of other nations.

To what extent do you agree or disagree?

In the fast-paced world, A large percent of populations have the debate about some states have to have a small relationship with other regions. In my point of view, I only agree in part with the viewpoint because I believe that the statement may be unsuitable in certain circumstances.

At the first hand, both countries can increase the economy. A weakness of first country can be compensated by a strength another country. For instance, according to an article of an experiment in British, the group of eight people who weren’t more knowledgeable than an genius were confrontation together about solving puzzles in a room to escape. The result was eight people escapes faster than genius individuals. It means that the cooperation is necessary. Then,if the territory are not good for increase agriculture, they can have a trade with another country to solve the problems.

On the other hand, both governments can have a plan to mutual harm. Secondly, to make the country better than all country, they can do a lot of thing to reduce the economic of state like increase tax, not provide goods to other regions or a bold Idea is do an aggression. For instance, in the past, many European countries always make a war with all commonwealths to increase their mainland. Regardless the resident was died by weapons in the war, managing person of that region commanded to the soldiers to kill all of populations that they want.

In conclusion, I would agree with the public who suggest that there should be little interference in the strategies of other federations. But they can help each other to develop the nations